TRANSCRIPT FREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office

March 24, 1997 LB 465

certain questions being asked, without any guidelines, and without being able to say...what if they can't find somebody? You know, what's going to say that the State Patrol is going to spend an awful lot of time, I think, trying to contact some of these here references. I think it's a...puts quite a burden on State Patrol to have to do this. And I think it's something...it's probably going to increase the fee if they got to spend time trying to contact somebody. Now, I think most of the people probably wouldn't have any problem. They'd just give a couple of different references, and they might be their It doesn't say what it has to be or can't be. But I think that some people that maybe don't have some real good friends or don't know anybody and would put somebody down, I think there should be some kind of a guideline that would be there to say, well, we're going to...you have to ask certain questions. The State Patrol, hopefully, will ask the right questions, but I just think that its a part of the bill that's rather cumbersome and it's really not needed in the bill itself. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers to discuss the Schellpeper ar adment 956.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before Senator Schellpeper's bludgeoned into withdrawing this amendment to, I want to mention something that happened at one time... I think it may have been during the Civil War...shortly before or after. But these individuals had stolen a train. They stole a train. And they were just chuggin' down the track, and when they got to the end of the line, there were the soldiers waiting, and they arrested them. And Abraham Lincoln would not let them be prosecuted. He said, first of all, this train can go no place other than where the track will carry it. So, in fact, the train went no place other than where the track would carry it. Nothing ventured, nothing gained. In that last attempt by Senator Schellpeper, nothing ventured, nothing gained. Brashear convinced him that any offense is sufficient to justify a person being turned down for this license. Now, for those who are opposed to the bill as I am, that's not a bad thing, but I want us to discuss what it is we do so it's clear what is being consciously rejected, and it will send a message of what the intention of the majority of the legislators will be.